



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bex 1450 Alexandra, Vagain 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/941,168 | 08/28/2001 | David B. Shaw | 5628-06600 | 4655 | |
| 75 | 90 07/07/2003 | | _ | | |
| ERIC B. MEYERTONS | | | EXAMINER | | |
| CONLEY, ROSE & TAYON, P.C. P.O. BOX 398 | | | SAMPLE, DAVID R | | |
| AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1755 | 7_ | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | | | <u> </u> | | A |
|---|--|--|---|--|-----------------------|
| | | Application | No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | | 09/941,168 | · | SHAW ET AL. | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | | David Samp | | 1755 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication | on appears on the co | over sheet with the d | correspondence ad | ddress |
| A SHO THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by epply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, on. s, a reply within the statutor period will apply and will existence is statute, cause the applicate. | however, may a reply be tir y minimum of thirty (30) day pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ly. communication. |
| 1)[| Responsive to communication(s) filed or | n <u>02 December 200</u> | <u>02</u> . | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b) | This action is no | n-final. | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice u | | | | ne merits is |
| · | on of Claims | cation | | | |
| , | Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi | | deration | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | marawii iioiii consi | doration. | | |
| · | Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected. | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers | and/or election requ | uirement. | | |
| 9) <u> </u> | The specification is objected to by the Exa | aminer. | | | |
| 10)[| The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ | accepted or b) ob | jected to by the Exa | ıminer. | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection | = : : | | | |
| 11) 🔲 | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a)∏ app | roved b)⊡ disappr | oved by the Examir | ner. |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required | | e action. | | |
| 12) 🗌 | The oath or declaration is objected to by t | he Examiner. | | | |
| • | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | |
| • | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f | oreign priority unde | er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority docu | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority docu | | | | |
| * 5 | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation fee the attached detailed Office action for | nal Bureau (PCT Ru | ıle 17.2(a)). | | l Stage |
| 14) 🗌 A | acknowledgment is made of a claim for do | mestic priority und | er 35 U.S.C. § 119(| e) (to a provisiona | al application). |
| |) The translation of the foreign languate Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I | 48) 5 | Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P | |
| S. Patent and T TO-326 (Re | rademark Office | fice Action Summary | | Part of Paper No. 7 | |

Application/Control Number: 09/941,168

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Unless a material is Newtonian in nature, the material's viscosity will depend upon shear rate. See Figure 3, page 151 of Fischer, <u>Rheological Properties of Dispersion</u>, 1950 (applicants' ref. designation A221). Layered smectite clays having a composition similar to the presently claimed invention has a viscosity that changes with shear rate (i.e., rpm). See, for example, US 4,040,974, col. 20, Table 5A.

The present claims describe that the inventive layered silicate causes an aqueous medium to have a viscosity of greater than 200,000 centipoise, however, the claims fail to describe the shear rate employed to measure the viscosity. One of ordinary skill in the art cannot determine the scope of the subject matter sought to be patented without knowing the shear rate associated with the measurement of the viscosity.

Claims 3-17 and 19-33 are rejected for failing to correct the deficiencies of the claims from which they depend.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bauer et al. (US Patent No. 6,274,111 B).

Bauer et al. discloses a synthetic magnesium silicate, which has a composition containing ranges of components that are sufficiently specific to anticipate the composition recited in the formula of instant claim 1. See col. 3, lines 1-5 of the reference and MPEP 2131.03. Moreover, Example 3 of Bauer et al. has a lithium content of 0.48 wt% and a SiO₂/MgO weight ratio of 2.15, and Example 5 has a lithium content of 0.54 wt% and a SiO₂/MgO weight ratio of 2.16. See col. 9, lines 60-62, and col. 11, lines 12-13. The lithium content anticipates the instant claim 1 recitation and the SiO₂/MgO ratio anticipates the claimed SiO₂/MgO in view of the latitude in interpreting the word 'about' in claims.

The material of Bauer et al. is made in a manner that is identical to the presently claimed method. In particular, a magnesium compound is mixed with a carbonate compound that is in a solution with a silicon source and a monovalent cation source. See Examples 1, 3 and 5, col's 8-11.

¹ The SiO₂/MgO weight ratio described in the rejection was calculated from the reference's disclosure of Si and Mg contents, i.e., multiplying the Si/Mg ratio by the quantity (60.1/28.1)/(40.3/24.3).

Application/Control Number: 09/941,168

Art Unit: 1755

The recitations of 3-16 and 18-33 can be found in the reference in Examples 1, 3, and 5, col's 8-11.

The reference fails to disclose the viscosity characteristics of instant claims 1, 2 and 18. As described above, the material of Bauer et al. is identical to the presently claimed material and is made in an identical manner. Therefore, the presently claimed viscosity characteristics are assumed to be inherent to the layered silicate of Bauer et al. See MPEP 2112.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Sample whose telephone number is (703)308-3825. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on (703)308-3823. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9310 for regular communications and (703)872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,168 Page 5

Art Unit: 1755

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

David Sample

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

DRS

June 30, 2003